

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA
MARTINSBURG**

BRIAN L. BROWN,

Plaintiff,

v.

**CIVIL ACTION NO: 3:14-CV-16
(JUDGE GROH)**

**TIPTON, Unit Manager 6B Unit,
BOYD, 6B Counselor, and
U.S. ATTORNEY,**

Defendants.

ORDER ADOPTING OPINION/REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Seibert for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Seibert filed his R & R on March 25, 2014 [Doc. 9]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court dismiss the Plaintiff's complaint [Doc. 1] without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), the Prison Litigation Reform Act's "three strikes" provision.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review


and the petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's R & R were due within fourteen days of being served with a copy of the same, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). The docket reflects that service was accepted on March 31, 2014 [Doc. 10]. No party has filed objections to the R & R. Accordingly, this Court will review the report and recommendation for clear error.

Upon careful review of the report and recommendation, it is the opinion of this Court that the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, the Court hereby **DISMISSES** the Plaintiff's Complaint **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. Accordingly, this matter is hereby **ORDERED STRICKEN** from the active docket of this Court. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment for the Defendants.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to all counsel of record and *pro se* parties.

DATED: April 21, 2014.


GINA M. GROH
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE